A New Standard By Which to Measure Infamy

Helen of desTroy

(part I, on Israel's experiments in televised genocide and the international community's suicidally obsequious response, is here)

With all eyes on the <u>historically unprecedented</u> bloodbath Israel is unleashing in Gaza, the transnational parasite class headquartered there is working overtime to accelerate the expansion—and criminalization—of "antisemitism" in the West, taking advantage of the geopolitical chaos they've unleashed to expand the sphere of attitudes and behaviors thus classifiable as rapidly as possible in the hope that even the most outrageous overreach will be perceived as the New Normal once the political atmosphere re-congeals. It's a cynical gambit, but alarmingly likely to succeed, given their top-down control of the media and their previous success in both broadening the term's definition beyond recognition and shoehorning that expanded definition into law (discussed at length elsewhere on this site) in both public and private life.

When several dozen Harvard University campus organizations signed their names to an open letter stating "the apartheid regime is the only one to blame" for the October 7 Hamas raid, the risibly-named hasbara group Accuracy in Media targeted the students with billboard trucks featuring their photos alongside the text "Harvard's Leading Antisemites," deploying the trucks first on campus and later to students' parents' neighborhoods with the stated goal of turning them into unemployable pariahs. Included on the display was a website urging the reader to petition Harvard's board of trustees to expel these "despicable, hateful students" and their organizations — all over a letter that contained no references to Jews and limited its criticism to the Israeli government. AIM president Adam Guillette nevertheless boasted of rigging Google search results for the targeted students' names to prominently display the smear site, telling the New York Post that the letter-writers' failure to "explicitly condemn Hamas" constituted overt antisemitism. Similar libel-on-wheels campaigns were inflicted on Columbia University and the University of Pennsylvania, the latter targeting university president Elizabeth Magill herself for having allowed the campus to host a literary event featuring Palestinian writers that one detractor bizarrely referred to as an "antisemitic Burning Man" — weeks before the raid.

The same campuses were <u>simultaneously deluged</u> with <u>complaints</u> — cc'ed to any media outlet within kvetching range - from wealthy,

mostly Jewish donors (including Jeffrey Epstein's benefactor Leslie Wexner in high moral dudgeon) demanding crackdowns on the imaginary plague of "antisemitism on campus," up to and including the firing of professors over their advocacy for Palestine and the ouster of university presidents for allowing that advocacy to take place. The Anti-Defamation League and other hasbara groups backed by compromised toadies like Florida Governor Ron DeSantis and Senator Marco Rubio framed college fixtures like Students for Justice in Palestine as literal terrorists starting pogroms in the cafeteria. Astroturfed "student groups" like the Massachusetts Institute of Technology's "Israel Alliance" fostered this delusion, bending the ear of domesticated media with claims that students lying on the floor to protest the slaughter in Gaza in a classic "die-in" protest somehow posed a threat to the safety of Jewish students, in a sick echo of Israel's own claims that flattening entire Palestinian neighborhoods is necessary for "self-defense." That none of these episodes of antisemitic "intimidation" were ever recorded on the thousands of smartphones in their vicinity was immaterial — the media establishment knew which side of its challah was buttered. After students at both Harvard and Columbia Law Schools reportedly had job offers rescinded for signing onto their respective schools' open letters in support of Gaza, over two dozen of the largest law firms in the US leaned into the supposedly antisemitic trope of "Jews control the legal system" and wrote a sternly-worded directive to the nation's top law schools to crack down on the scourge of "antisemitic harassment" or risk none of their graduates ever working in the industry again. Collective punishment — it's not just for Palestinians anymore.

Share

Within weeks, <u>UPenn</u>, <u>Harvard</u>, and <u>Columbia</u> had all announced antisemitism "task forces," complete with plans of action lifted directly from the Biden administration's <u>National Strategy</u> to Counter Antisemitism, a self-righteous panderfest that sank without a ripple when it was unveiled earlier this year. Columbia <u>suspended</u> its chapters of SJP and Jewish Voice for Peace. Pro-Palestinian professors targeted by petitions backed by the hasbara machine were forced to <u>eat their words</u> to <u>keep their jobs</u> — and more than a few were forced out anyway. Several of the Harvard student groups that signed the fateful open letter issued <u>public retractions</u> in a panicked bid to save their employment prospects as a <u>growing swarm</u> of hedge funders and corporate CEOs demanded the school furnish them with the membership rosters of those groups to use as a "do-not-hire" blacklist, and the Harvard Law Review spiked a thoroughly-vetted article on Israel's genocide in Gaza (a piece the journal had commissioned from a Palestinian doctoral candidate just weeks before) not because it contained factual errors, but because a majority of the journal's 100 editors feared for their own <u>career prospects</u>.

The individuals whose lives are upended by this kind of socioeconomic terrorism are mere collateral damage in a campaign that is clearly aimed at all of academia, the Frankfurt School's tried-and-true shortcut to the American collective consciousness. The targeted

schools were selected for their potential to set a nationwide trend, as hedge fund parasite and UPenn donor Marc Rowan <u>acknowledged</u> in his demand that university president Liz Magill be fired for not immediately begging forgiveness for allowing a student group to host a Palestinian writers' festival on campus *two weeks before* the Hamas raid, rather than their vulnerability to pressure campaigns. Harvard has <u>more money</u> in its endowment than over half the countries on Earth and could easily weather the storm if a few snowflake donors opted to skip their usual conspicuous displays of philanthropy this year, and the other two aren't far behind. That students at 100 colleges <u>staged a walkout</u> for Gaza even after weeks of this treatment speaks to a massive shift in public opinion, and ADL CEO Jonathan Greenblatt can be heard <u>freaking out</u> about the "major generational problem" Israel faces with regard to Generation Z on a leaked phone call with fellow Lobby bigwigs obtained by Iranian media last month.

The genocidal cat may be out of the bag, but Big Parasite is determined to ram it back in, tie the bag back up, and drown it in the Dead Sea. It was thus not especially <u>surprising</u> when Susan Sarandon, already reviled among liberals for her refusal to ditch her principles to support Hillary Clinton in 2016, was fired by United Talent Agency for suggesting Jews lacked a monopoly on suffering during a speech she gave at a pro-Palestinian rally in New York, or when screenwriter Aaron Sorkin fired his agent, Maha Dakhil, for accusing Israel of genocide in an Instagram post, or even when Lebanese-born porn star Mia Khalifa lost her Playboy podcast over tweets expressing empathy with Palestinians. Everyone knows Jewish elites have called the shots in the US entertainment industry since its birth. But with control of academia seemingly slipping away, anything less than total ideological lockstep in Hollywood has become suddenly intolerable. The industry's failure to issue a full-throated demand for bloody vengeance immediately following the Hamas raid, the New York Times <u>declared breathlessly</u>, was not just an outrage, but an antisemitic one. And Hollywood's faithful didn't stop at denouncing their colleagues — they unloaded on everyone from the establishment media editors who correctly reported Israel's airstrike on the Al-Ahli Baptist Hospital, to the Writers' Guild of America (which had just secured favorable contracts for its members after a months-long strike but hadn't condemned Hamas fast enough), to the TikTok executives unable to inflate traffic for pro-Israel hashtags to the same level as pro-Palestine terms with the platform's established censorship algorithms. By failing to suppress all pro-Palestine discourse, TikTok executives were enabling the next Holocaust, dozens of Jewish celebrities nearing their sell-by dates, including Amy Schumer, Sacha Baron Cohen and Sarah Silverman, informed two of the platform's Jewish executives in a Zoom struggle-session that would have been hilarious if not for its real-world repercussions. Armed with cooked data from the ADL, which had conveniently begun counting all pro-Palestinian protests and uses of phrases like "from the river to the sea" in its already-skewed accounting of "antisemitic incidents," the industry's dregs literally demanded TikTok (and other social media platforms) give them a magic button to press to disappear the "hate" — which the executives promised to do to the best of their ability. Calls to shut TikTok down have predictably surged among

Republican politicians, particularly primary candidates who seem to be campaigning harder for Israeli political office than any in the US. Nikki Haley <u>claimed</u> during last week's debate that every half-hour of TikTok use made a person 17% more antisemitic, a howler that met with zero laughter from an audience obediently spooked by the platform's power after Israeli operatives had astroturfed a campaign around Osama bin Laden's "Letter to America" to frame TikTok as a hive of antisemitism seducing impressionable young minds to the dark side. If they could not dupe young Americans into supporting Israel as they had their parents, Big Parasite would have to make them an offer they couldn't refuse.



cleanliness is antisemitic

To ensure opposition to Israel's genocide in Gaza becomes guaranteed career suicide, the Israeli Lobby must widen the exception it has already (and wildly unconstitutionally) carved out of the First Amendment for the Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions movement to include pro-Palestinian speech of any kind. Congress did the heavy lifting earlier this month with a full-frontal assault on the dictionary, explicitly expanding the already bursting-at-the-seams concept of "antisemitism" to include anti-Zionism. Adopted unanimously save for lonely vertebrate Rep. Thomas Massie, the resolution bemoans a world in which protesters can "spew hateful and vile language amplifying antisemitic themes" in the US' capital and "4 masked men" can tear an Israeli flag off the wall of a Philadelphia restaurant but is apparently OK with 150 Palestinian children being blown sky-high every day by American-made bombs dropped by Israel's finest.

But while simply declaring anti-Zionism is antisemitism makes Big Parasite's job manufacturing consent for its Gaza genocide much easier, it is as fundamentally incompatible with reality as declaring steel a vegetable or Israel a democracy. Jewish religious doctrine has no place for Zionism — Jews aren't supposed to return to the Holy Land until the Messiah shows up, and most of them don't recognize any past or current claimants to that title — but then most of <u>Israel's inhabitants</u> aren't particularly religious, and the ones that are wouldn't be caught dead fighting for the IDF, details generally left out of stateside hagiographies of the "special relationship."

A who's-who of controlled opposition has been deployed to sell Israel's case for ethnic cleansing, led by purported free-speech crusaders from histrionic trainwreck Laura Loomer and Musk-anointed professional whiner Bari Weiss to conservative college blog CampusReform. The latter's content transformed practically overnight on October 8 from criticism of woke damage and thought-policing in higher education to a baying chorus of self-righteous slopaganda-spewers suggesting those who oppose the IDF's brutality should be not only deplatformed but personally harassed, many articles including lists of names of professors and even students whose only transgression was opposing the Israeli occupation. That such spiteful malingering brought this supposedly conservative outlet into ideological lockstep with professional Trump Derangement Syndrome sufferer Michael Rapaport, who not only conflated failure to condemn Hamas with antisemitism but threatened these newly-minted "antisemites" with the withdrawal of financial support from their projects, supposedly on behalf of a cabal of Jews who were "making a list" in order to punish the insufficiently-genocidal in the future, does not appear to bother anyone on the Right. Indeed, Rapaport has done a 180 and embraced the candidacy of the man he endearingly refers to as "Pig Dick" for president, thanks apparently to the latter's unquestioned subservience to Israel, and his fellow grifters in the Trump camp have welcomed him as the prodigal son.

At the same time as the Right was extending its Big Tent to include anyone who could hold up an <u>Israeli flag</u> without shitting

themselves, conservative influencers who strayed even slightly from the path dictated by Tel Aviv in the hours after Hamas' incursion were publicly and messily sacrificed, no matter how enthusiastically they had deep-throated the Zionist cause in the past. Charlie Kirk, the founder of conservative campus group Turning Point who is <u>regularly heckled</u> at his own events for his slavish devotion for Israel, was <u>publicly crucified</u> as an antisemite for suggesting someone in Netanyahu's government must have known about Hamas' plans before October 7. Abandoned by the Zionist masters he'd relentlessly shilled at the cost of his early grassroots supporters, a suddenly-friendless Kirk began <u>manically cucking</u> to atone for his moment of doubt, even as those initial suspicions were <u>completely validated</u> by the news that Tel Aviv had not only had Hamas' exact invasion plan in hand a year before October 7 but had ignored numerous warnings by IDF troops stationed at the Gaza border who observed Palestinian militants "rehearsing" the plan in the weeks preceding that invasion.

The most valuable horse in Netanyahu's stable is billionaire troll Elon Musk, the self-appointed guru of "free speech absolutism" (now with more loopholes). Fresh off suing neoliberal-centrist smear merchant Media Matters over a ruinous outbreak of advertiser boycotts supposedly triggered by a manipulation of Twitter that forced ads to appear alongside neo-Nazi content, Musk simped his way across Israel earlier this month, dutifully parroting his hosts' explanation that they were slaughtering the people of Gaza because Palestinians "think it's OK to kill civilians" (the 18,000 civilians Israel has massacred in the space of two months having apparently been murdered only with extreme regret). He even repeated the claim that Palestinian hatred for Israelis must stem from antisemitic propaganda they're taught as kids in school rather than the reality of living with those Israelis' boots on their necks and spit in their eyes for generations. With regular condemnations from the Anti-Defamation League bolstering his anti-woke cred, Musk convinced millions of adoring fanboys that he had bought Twitter to return it to its mythical heyday as the Free Speech Wing of the Free Speech Party™ by occasionally tweeting into the tamer fringes of the Narrative — despite ratcheting up obedience to government censorship requests, putting a World Economic Forum lackey in the driver's seat, and building a privatized social credit score system far worse than anything ever actually seen in China. The Israeli trip punctuated his latest redemption arc, which began when he questioned the legitimacy of Israel's collective punishment of Palestinians and really caught fire with an <u>acknowledgment</u> that "Jewish communities" aren't huge fans of white people before our hero learned a Valuable Lesson about the legitimacy of genocide in the service of real estate acquisition. When he <u>flipped off</u> New York Times DealBook smarm-meister (and close personal Musk friend of 16 years) Andrew Ross Sorkin onstage after coming home, credulous dissidents across the West swooned.

The Lobby deliberately courts influencers with cross-partisan appeal like Musk, Democratic congresswoman-turned-Fox News pundit Tulsi Gabbard, and vaccine safety advocate Robert F. Kennedy Jr. to create the false impression that a Zionist consensus supersedes all

the usual sociopolitical divides. These assets are allowed to gain political traction with vocal, even eloquent opposition to the US' Israel-fellating neocon foreign policy, and only once their antiwar or pro-First Amendment bona fides are firmly established are they ordered to "come out" as pro-Israel. Their most devoted followers will dutifully embrace their incongruous drift into warmongering and censorship, accepting cognitive dissonance as the cost of doing business (don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good!) and even coaxing some skeptics into riding that sunk cost fallacy all the way into the pasture of Righteous Goyim. A thick folder of compromising material is kept by these assets' handlers, capable of being deployed piecemeal to ensure that anyone who starts to get cold feet over duping their followers into embracing the violent racist death cult currently occupying Palestine can be persuaded to resume full obedience before they've passed the point of no return. Big Parasite loves a good ritual humiliation, and there's nothing like dangling the tapes from those long-ago trips through the friendly skies on Jeffrey Epstein's Lolita Express in front of a hungry reporter to make even an intelligent person spout the most aggressively ignorant Ziofascist thuggery this side of a Likud party meeting while flanked with obscene grifters like Shmuley Boteach, declaring with a straight face that the IDF is not only the most moral army in the world but does not — has never! — deliberately target(ed) civilians.

On the record advocating for Palestine? They can probably still use you, given how few people can stand by what Israel is doing in Gaza without becoming violently ill. Just publicly recant your ideological crimes, preferably with a pained look on your face, and start puking out cult dogma, as Musk did while Israeli President Isaac Herzog clapped like a seal during the former's apology tour. Countless social media influencers have come forward since October 7 showing off emails from Israeli PR firms offering insulting sums in exchange for "sponsored" content, a poisoned hook that not only renders the entire pool of Israel/Palestine social media content questionable but allows Israel to claim plausible deniability regarding its own dismal performance in TikTok's metrics — see, those dastardly Palestinians must be paying for their traffic, maybe we should ban TikTok after all! And when Israel can't get their (wo)man, they are not above using AI to fake it. Model Bella Hadid, whose Palestinian father's family lost their home in Safed during the 1948 Nakba when a Jewish refugee family from Europe to whom the Hadids had given shelter took over their home while the Hadids were away, was deepfaked into an apology video in which "she" recanted her past support for Palestine and embraced Israel, claiming to have "taken time to truly learn the historical context."

Big Parasite's efforts to collapse any daylight between "free-speech" crusaders and Zionist zealots is a desperate bid to prevent any empathy from developing between its own victims in Palestine and in Washington — specifically those who've found themselves in social-credit purgatory, unable to <u>board a plane</u> or open a bank account due to attending the January 6, 2021 "Stop the Steal" protest. Despite similar experiences with over-the-top Orwellian surveillance and harassment by Big Brother and Big Tech for behavior which

no reasonable person would define as crime, conservatives have been repeatedly lied to by Israel-affiliated "America First" sites like Breitbart and the Free Beacon that they have *absolutely nothing* in common with Palestinians and Palestine advocates who've been facing similar treatment from the US government for so long that an entire organization, Palestine Legal, arose to defend them in court. When hundreds of Jewish peace activists were arrested on Capitol Hill a week after the Hamas raid, J6 patron saint Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene accused her colleague and Congress' sole Palestinian, Rep. Rashida Tlaib, of leading a "pro-Hamas insurrection," demanding Tlaib be censured and the demonstrators receive the same harsh sentences as the Stop the Steal crowd. She justified her sudden embrace of the police-state tactics she'd railed against for the previous two years by offering up the stale hasbara nugget that to be pro-Palestinian was to support Hamas, citing the ADL — which has called <u>Greene herself</u> "somewhere between deranged and demented" — in her desperate reach to denounce Jewish Voice for Peace as an extremist group.

Similarly, when conservatives better known for fighting the Biden administration's unconstitutional crackdown on social media had a chance to join hands across the aisle with liberal university presidents struggling to flex their own disused First Amendment rights against the Lobby's McCarthyite demand for a loyalty oath during this month's campus <u>antisemitism hearing</u>, they chose instead to join the neocons in piling on the Ivy League women. It wasn't so much the universities' insufficient bubble-wrapping of the Jewish Campus ExperienceTM that bothered what should have been natural allies, but not hiring "enough" conservative and/or pro-Trump faculty and for overdosing on the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion kool-aid — claims that were at best irrelevant, at worst unproven, and made the questioners look like petty bullies trafficking in <u>entitled male resentment</u>.

<iframe width=512 height=330 src='https://www.c-span.org/video/standalone/?532147-101/university-presidentstestify-college-campus-antisemitism-part-2' allowfullscreen='allowfullscreen' frameborder=0></iframe>

Billed as a hearing on "college campus antisemitism," the House Education and Workforce Committee's sadistic <u>four-hour interrogation</u> of the presidents of Harvard, UPenn, and MIT last week proved once again the impossibility of appeasing one's way out of tyranny. Despite having cooperated promptly and exhaustively in reorienting their universities' campus life around the fictional scourge of antisemitism after October 7, dropping everything to assemble a whole new layer of bureaucracy capable of protecting the Ivy League's Jewish snowflakes from accidental contact with student activism, Claudine Gay (Harvard), Liz Magill (UPenn), and Sally Kornbluth (MIT) were bullied and harassed in the name of stopping antisemitic bullying and harassment by making speech the Lobby doesn't like an actionable disciplinary offense. When none of the presidents cracked under the full gale force of Rep. Elise Stefanik's pearl-clutching tantrum even after she revealed their universities would be turned inside out with <u>Title VI investigations</u>, a nasty little tactic capable of


ripping a multi-million dollar hole in one's institution, one of UPenn's Jewish sugar daddies threatened to drill an even bigger hole in the school's war chest if Magill was allowed to stay, claiming he had anonymously donated \$100 million in options from his Stone Street Asset Management firm — and that those options could be yanked back the minute he felt the school was no longer representing his Values faithfully. Because the board didn't want to play chicken with a \$100 million gift — not when there was a nice responsible Jewish girl already standing by as vice-chair waiting to fill Magill's uncooperative shoes — it was done.

Greenblatt was soon troping up a storm on CNN as he <u>gloated</u> about Magill's demise, claiming he *could* have helped UPenn — they'd contacted him, after all, as had Harvard — but they just wouldn't do what he *wanted*. This wishlist had somehow metastasized from establishing an antisemitism task force to ordering that task force to 'solve' antisemitism within a designated time frame, purging university curricula of references to Israeli apartheid, adopting a hate speech code prominently featuring the bloated-to-the-point-of-meaninglessness IHRA definition of antisemitism (long since rejected by its creator as <u>unfit for purpose</u>) to be centered within a revamped Diversity, Equity and Inclusion framework, and correspondingly stepped-up punishments meted out to students, professors, administrators, and even the university itself if a Jewish student felt momentarily uncomfortable. Meanwhile, for hesitating before stripping students of their rights, then apologizing for crimes they did not actually commit, these university presidents have been doubly condemned to eternal "Jewish media torture" (for some idea of what to expect, refer to the character assassination of British Labour leader Jeremy Corbyn).

between removing posters of Israeli hostages from the American walls they've been irrelevantly posted on and "Jew hate." Such "hate" need not mention Israel or Jews at all — Starbucks sued its own employee union over their social media posts expressing solidarity with Gaza, and the Orthodox Jewish Chamber of Commerce launched a <u>campaign</u> against the popular cafe chain with the slogan, "Drinking a cup of Starbucks is drinking a cup of Jewish blood." Wave a Palestinian flag at a protest? You might as well be heiling Hitler.

To make sure this avalanche of fraud stays put, Big Parasite has made a bold grab for the control room of the internet itself, consolidating what is already near-total control so as to prevent even the slightest pin-pricks of light from hitting the retinas of the populations they must keep in the dark to complete their atrocities unmolested. In October, the US Federal Communications Commission quietly passed a measure giving itself total control of the transmission of all content across US internet infrastructure in the name of upholding "equity," leaning on an already legally-absurd concept in order to justify something so far outside the bounds of law it might as well have been written in feces on the walls of an asylum. The agency's own commissioner, Brendan Carr, took to social media to warn Americans what was in the works, explaining that the plan "hands the Administrative State effective control of all Internet services and infrastructure in the country." Just as the people of Gaza have been all but muzzled by Israel's deliberate shutdown of electricity and internet and blockade of fuel deliveries to the enclave, so will Americans find that their words can no longer reach an audience — either inside the US or outside its borders — if the FCC thinks it might hurt an influential Jew's feelings. This is not speculation — the ADL made the argument for targeting internet infrastructure to suppress wrongthink earlier this year, and especially after the Biden administration had its wrist slapped for playing Ministry of Truth at the platform level with the Missouri v Biden decision, it has become abundantly clear to Big Parasite that preventing the transmission of such material is a much more final solution than trying to prevent its publication.

By equating pro-Palestinian speech with the literal genocide of Jews, Big Parasite's agents in the West are not just dismantling the last remaining safeguards against state-sponsored censorship — they are opening the door to monstrous acts of "preemptive self-defense," a concept only the diseased minds running the Israeli government would ever have had the chutzpah to attempt in real life. Former Justice Minister Ayelet Shaked cited just such a rationale for killing Palestinian children, whom she memorably referred to as "little snakes" in 2015, while the IDF's "one shot, two kills" t-shirt has become a <u>critical artifact</u> for understanding the Israeli mind. As a desperate Israel runs out of threadbare narratives to brandish in the hope of distracting from the abattoir it's operating in Gaza, American dissidents risk losing quite a bit more than just their jobs when they challenge Bibi the butcher.





coming soon to a boardroom near you

Israel has made it clear: support for Palestinians is <u>support for Hamas</u>. Anyone found to be supporting Hamas is a <u>terrorist</u>. All terrorists <u>must die</u>. And by the way, we're gonna send the IDF around to <u>all your countries</u> — if Mossad isn't already there — to make sure we got them all. Oh, and the US Congress has decided anti-Zionism makes you a terrorist too. Sleep tight!

The line between character assassination and actual assassination has never been thinner, especially with Big Parasite's control of AI — both through operational algorithms and the feeder material, which is sourced from Wikipedia or its even less reliable cousin Wikidata more often than you'd like to think. They do not need TikTok users' approval or even consent to insert the most aesthetically and morally repugnant content directly into the collective consciousness, especially once Musk fanboys start lining up for their Neuralinks and find themselves completely unable to question why their thoughts are suddenly expressed with an Israeli accent ("the tewwowists are coming!")

Palestinian poet Refaat Alareer chillingly <u>predicted his own demise</u> as the result of "Bari Weiss and her likes" in a tweet less than a month before Israel bombed his sister's house last week, killing him and half a dozen family members. Below a screenshot of his inbox, which was overflowing with poorly-spelled death threats, was a tweet from the perennially-butthurt Weiss spotlighting him for joking about whether an Israeli baby supposedly found in an oven in the world's least original atrocity propaganda recipe had been cooked "with or without baking powder." Weiss, like Musk, Gabbard, Kennedy, and any of countless other controlled-oppo personalities, presents herself as a fierce foe of cancel culture while hypocritically embracing it against anyone opposed to the genocide of Gaza, to the point that she has apparently moved up to helping cancel them for real.



phone call from someone who identified himself as an Israeli officer and threatened Refaat that they knew precisely the school where he was located and were about to get to his location with the advancement of Israeli ground troops."

According to EuroMed's report, he then returned to his sister's apartment to avoid endangering others in the school/shelter. There, he was killed by a "surgical" strike by the Israeli military.

In the weeks leading up to his murder, Refaat received a long series of direct messages from Israeli soldiers and pro-Israel fanatics promising to kill him and torture his family.

The wave of death threats was inspired by neocon pundit @bariweiss, who took to Twitter to falsely accuse Refaat of mocking a Jewish baby who had been baked in an oven by Hamas. Of course, no Jewish baby was killed in this way on October 7; it was one of many macabre fabrications which Israeli forces have re-enacted in their genocidal rampage of vengeance against the population of Gaza.



the next butthurt loser you mock online might be working for Peter Thiel

Weiss last week retweeted an ominous message from Palantir, the Orwellian "security" firm founded by billionaire technofascist Peter Thiel. The company, it said, had <u>opened its arms</u> to Jewish students pissed that their universities wouldn't evict pro-Palestinian students from campus wholesale. Palantir has offered such wannabe-Kissingers positions in a secretive new initiative apparently targeting those universities — and potentially anyone else who gets in the way, since putting killing machines in the hands of insecure nerds with grudges is not exactly self-limiting — with "actions on the battlefield."

Witnessing the rollout of this repressive control agenda should only spur dissidents to greater activity, as many are beginning to understand — at least on a subconscious level — that if Gaza and the West Bank fall, these predators will come knocking on our doors (and while Jonathan Greenblatt may look like Nosferatu, he does not have to be invited in). Thousands of artists, writers and performers have hit back against the effort to delete Palestine from the discourse as a pretext for deleting it from history, fully aware

that the censorship campaign they are facing off against represents an existential threat precisely because of the heavy-handed unpersoning of so many of their peers. One day we may find ourselves "deplatformed" only to realize we have to pay a toll to reenter the real world — and it's <u>Worldcoin</u> or no world for you.

The twin spirals of silence and complicity are already twisting into an epistemological barbed wire fence, completing the Total Demoralization project that has been underway since Zionism took its first breath and shouted that this planet was not big enough for the both of us. They are correct — it isn't big enough at all — but it is not we who have to go.

[i really tried to keep this to two parts, i swear. in part III: learning & unlearning helplessness, why we poison our own wells, & why they hate that Nazi comparison so much]